

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,782	07/09/2001	Susan Hardin	0007/01UTL	9388
75	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert W. Strozier ROBERT W. STROZIER, P.L.L.C.			SMITH, CAROLYN L	
P.O. Box 429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bellaire, TX 77402-0429			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		——————————————————————————————————————		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summany	09/901,782	HARDIN ET AL.	7
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Carolyn L. Smith	1631	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	•
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 20 June 2005		
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for		ttere prospertion as to the morits	s ic
الــارد	closed in accordance with the practice	·	*	, 15
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 10-19 and 25-34 is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-34 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	·	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			•
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner	· ·	
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner	
. • , 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	= ' '	, ,	1(d)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	·	-	. ,
ŕ	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		•
	·		·	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa 	• •	n received in this National Stage	•
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
		رة المارة ا	 ·	

Applicant's amendments and remarks, filed 6/20/05, are acknowledged. Amended claims 12-13, and 16, cancelled claims 1-9, 20-24, and 35-49 are acknowledged. Claims 25-34 are still rejected as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Applicant's arguments, filed 6/5/05, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claims 10-19 are herein under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the phrases "atomic tag" and "has a fluorescence property" which is confusing. It is well known in the art that molecular tags can have fluorescent properties, but it is unclear how an atomic tag can be fluorescent. It is unclear what exactly is meant by an atomic tag or how this tag contains such properties. Clarification of this issue via clearer claim wording is requested. Claims 11-19 are also rejected due to their direct or indirect dependency from claim 10.

Claim 10 recites the phrase "and where the changes in the detectable property generate data evidencing each monomer incorporation producing a monomer sequence read out". It is unclear what structural limitations are intended from this phrase that are to be applied to the claimed composition. For example, the composition is an entity with inherent properties and it does not appear that the composition can "generate data" as stated in the claim. Clarification of this issue via clearer claim wording is requested. It is noted that removal of this phrase will nullify this rejection. Claims 11-19 are also rejected due to their direct or indirect dependency from claim 10.

Claims 11 and 12 appear to contain limitations of method steps that lack clarity for the claimed composition. It is unclear how these method steps limit the structure or function of the claimed composition. Clarification of this issue via clearer claim wording is requested.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed. It is noted that if the elected claims become allowable, instant claim 31 may then be rejoined to the composition claims. Applicants are encouraged to carefully go over instant claim 31 and address any possible 35 USC 112, 2nd issues that might arise from the claim language.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28,

Application/Control Number: 09/901,782

Art Unit: 1631

Page 4

1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0721. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached on (571) 272-0718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner Tina Plunkett whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

September 1, 2005

MARJORIE A. MORAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

alilos-